



Health Research
Authority

EU CT Regulation: UK Research Ethics Service Preparation Work

Catherine Blewett
Research Ethics Service Manager

12 December 2017

Scope of presentation

- Key information about the initial application and decision process
- Key impact for RECs
- Preparation and testing plan for next 18 months
- Next steps

Background

- Expected to apply from 2019
 - Implementation date dependent on completion of EU Portal
 - Likely to be post Brexit
 - Consider ‘Deal’ and ‘No Deal’ scenariosRegardless of outcome – expect to align

EU CT Regulation

- Single Member State decision comprised of:
 - Part 1 (applies across all MS') - REC & MHRA (Article 6)
 - Part 2 (MS specific) – REC & desk assessment (Article 7)

EU CT Regulation

- Part 1 (applies across all MS') - REC & MHRA (Article 6)
 - Anticipated benefits / risks / burdens
 - Suitability of population
 - Robustness of data (stats / design etc.)
 - Safety / risk minimisation
 - Compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice
 - Compliance with labelling requirements

EU CT Regulation

- Part 1 (applies across all MS') - REC & MHRA (Article 6)
 - Anticipated benefits / risks / burdens (REC)
 - Suitability of population (REC)
 - Robustness of data (stats / design etc.) (REC & MHRA)
 - Safety / risk minimisation (REC & MHRA)
 - Compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice (MHRA)
 - Compliance with labelling requirements (MHRA)

EU CT Regulation

- Part 2 (MS specific) – REC & desk assessment (Article 7)
 - Informed consent
 - Payment
 - Recruitment
 - Compliance with data legislation
 - Suitability of investigator and site
 - Insurance and indemnity
 - Compliance with tissue legislation

Key Impacts for RECs

- No IRAS form for CTIMPs – ‘application dossier’
- Consolidation with MHRA of Part 1 assessment
 - single UK request for further information and output
- Completion of structure assessment forms
 - Forms agreed EU wide
- Timelines
 - Less flexibility as always require consolidation with MHRA and may require consolidation with other MS’

1) ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

EudraCT number	
Title of the study	
Name of sponsors	
IMPs (repeat for PR1, PR2.....)	Substance (name/ code): Marketing authorisation status (MA number, MS where authorised etc): Modified in relation to MA:

Has Part I been submitted prior to the submission of Part II? Yes No

If Yes

Is there already a conclusion on part I? Yes No

Is the CT already approved in any member state? Yes No

2) GENERAL INFORMATION

Is the CT a low-interventional trial? Yes No

First in man , Phase I , II , III , IV NA

Is the CT a cluster trial? Yes No

Is the CT intended to be performed in more than one member states? Yes No

Does the CT involve more than one site in the concerned member states? Yes No

Does the CT include healthy volunteers? Yes No

Does the CT include female? Yes No

male? Yes No

Age group

Adults (18-64 years) Yes No

Elderly (>= 65 years) Yes No

Preterm Newborn Infants (up to gestational age < 37 weeks) Yes No

Newborns (0-7 days) Yes No

Infants and toddlers (28 days - 23 months) Yes No

Children (2-11 years) Yes No

Adolescents (12-17 years) Yes No

Does the CT include vulnerable persons? Yes No

If yes

Minors Yes No

Incapacitated subjects Yes No

Pregnant women Yes No

Breastfeeding women Yes No

Subjects in emergency situations Yes No

Other groups Yes No

If yes, specify:

Are there study-specific procedures and/or interventions beyond the drug application? Yes No

If yes

Specify:

3) INFORMED CONSENT FORM
(repeat for ICF1, ICR2)

Date/version of Informed Consent Form	
Does the Informed Consent Form contain the correct title of the CT?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the Informed Consent Form contain placeholder for the dated signature of the person performing the interview?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Does this placeholder indicate the qualification of the person	

Timelines

- No ‘clock stop’ for not accepting the first available
 - Impact on ability to offer choice
- Need to consolidate with MHRA & potentially other MS’
 - Need to factor in time to share output of the REC review plus consolidation period
 - Both initial review and review of response to request for further information

Timelines (based on multi state)

- Will likely apply Part 1 multi state timelines across the board to avoid complication
- Issue decision in total 45 days
- Can extend by 31 days where a request for further information is made
 - 12 days for Sponsor to respond
 - 12 days to review the response
 - 7 days to issue decision

Next 18 months

- Raising awareness!
 - Update at Regional Chairs meetings
 - Written communications
 - Member training events
 - Attending REC meetings
- Working with individual RECs
 - Attending meetings
 - Written communications

Next 18 months

- Testing work
 - How to review an ‘application dossier’
 - Feedback on challenges etc.
 - How to record the outcome of the REC discussion
 - What are the challenges?
 - What can we put in place to support?
 - How to consolidate a response with the MHRA
 - What happens when have different perspective?

Next 18 months

- ‘Dummy’ application dossier reviews
 - One application per meeting for 4 – 6 months
 - Consider impact on workload etc.
- Voluntary Live Testing Pilot
 - Applications received going through the new process
 - Continue to issue output in accordance with current law

What next?

Work with Sponsors

- Protocol and cover sheet templates
- Encourage submission for voluntary live testing

Voluntary live testing

- To commence April 2018 – start with 5 - 7 RECs.

RECs confirmed to review

- 20 RECs by January 2019
- Have reviewed application dossier and completed assessment report forms for 6 months
- Deemed to meet set standards

Training

- REC Members & Staff



Health Research
Authority

Thank you

catherineblewett@nhs.net