# Equality analysis for the processes for identifying staff with significant responsibility for research and determining research independence – Final submission (26 May 2021)

# **Equality Analysis template**

Screening	Please provide explanatory comments
<b>1.</b> What activity is being	BU REF 2021 final submission – staff identified for submission
analysed?	as at 26 May 2021
<b>2.</b> Who is likely to be affected by	Academic staff with a HESA employment function of 'teaching
the activity?	and research' or 'research only'.
<b>3.</b> Who led the analysis?	Julie Northam, Head of RDS
<b>4.</b> Who contributed to the	Shelly Anne Stringer, Research Outputs Adviser
analysis?	
<b>5.</b> What information has been	Data on staff eligible to be submitted to the REF (census date for
used to inform the analysis?	staff - 31 <sup>st</sup> January 2020, match to HESA Staff Return), alongside
	data from RED (for research grants and contracts), HR system
	(doctorates) and Research PAD (PGR supervisions).
Analysis	Please provide explanatory comments
	relations/equality/inclusion in relation to:
6.1 Age	Positive impact. The code of practice states that the quality or
	volume of research output are not taken into account as part of
	determining the 'Category A submitted' pool of staff. This promotes
	inclusion for early career researchers at the start of their career and
	may therefore support the inclusion of younger members of staff. In
	addition the REF Guidance specifically mentions being an early
	career researcher as an eligible reason for a reduction in outputs.
	All academic staff meeting the REF definition of 'Category A
	eligible' are eligible to be identified for submission to REF 2021,
	regardless of this protected characteristic. Other than legal
	minimum age for work, there are no direct age related criteria
	used by the University. No information regarding this protected
	characteristic is used as part of the checking exercises to identify
	staff with significant responsibility for research or research independence.
6.2 Disability	Positive impact. The code of practice states that it will be made
0.2 Disability	available in other formats upon request. This is to facilitate access
	as a reasonable adjustment. All academic staff meeting the REF
	definition of 'Category A eligible' are eligible to be identified for
	submission to REF 2021, regardless of this protected
	characteristic. No information regarding this protected
	characteristic is used as part of the checking exercises to identify
	staff with significant responsibility for research or research
	independence.
6.7.Candar Danasian and	·
6.3 Gender Reassignment	All academic staff meeting the REF definition of 'Category A
	eligible' are eligible to be identified for submission to REF 2021,
	regardless of this protected characteristic. No information
	regarding this protected characteristic is used as part of the

	checking exercises to identify staff with significant responsibility for research or research independence.
6.4 Marriage and civil partnership <sup>1</sup>	All academic staff meeting the REF definition of 'Category A
	eligible' are eligible to be identified for submission to REF 2021,
	regardless of this protected characteristic. No information
	regarding this protected characteristic is used as part of the
	checking exercises to identify staff with significant responsibility
	for research or research independence.
6.5 Pregnancy and maternity	Positive impact. This is specifically mentioned in the REF Guidance
(including paternity)	as an eligible reason for a reduction in outputs. All academic staff
31 77	meeting the REF definition of 'Category A eligible' are eligible to
	be identified for submission to REF 2021, regardless of this
	protected characteristic. No information regarding this protected
	characteristic is used as part of the checking exercises to identify
	staff with significant responsibility for research or research
	independence.
6.6 Race (colour, ethnic or national	Positive impact. The modelling predicts a higher submission rates
background)	for staff with a declared BAME background (than those with a
	declared white background or with no declared ethnicity. This is a
	similar pattern of submission to REF 2014. All academic staff
	meeting the REF definition of 'Category A eligible' are eligible to
	be identified for submission to REF 2021, regardless of this
	protected characteristic. No information regarding this protected
	characteristic is used as part of the checking exercises to identify
	staff with significant responsibility for research or research
	independence.
6.7 Religion or belief (including	All academic staff meeting the REF definition of 'Category A
non-belief)	eligible' are eligible to be identified for submission to REF 2021,
	regardless of this protected characteristic. No information
	regarding this protected characteristic is used as part of the
	checking exercises to identify staff with significant responsibility
	for research or research independence.
6.8 Sex (Female/Male)	Positive impact. The modelling predicts submission rates for men
	and women which are similar (5.66% variance between men and
	women). This is a significant improvement on submission rates to
	REF 2014 (15% variance between men and women). All academic
	staff meeting the REF definition of 'Category A eligible' are
	eligible to be identified for submission to REF 2021, regardless
	of this protected characteristic. No information regarding this
	protected characteristic is used as part of the checking exercises
	to identify staff with significant responsibility for research or
6.9 Sexual orientation	research independence.
0.7 Sexual Offentation	All academic staff meeting the REF definition of 'Category A
	eligible' are eligible to be identified for submission to REF 2021,
	regardless of this protected characteristic. No information
	regarding this protected characteristic is used as part of the

 $^{1}$  Marriage and civil partnership are protected under the legislation but only for the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination in employment.

	checking exercises to identify staff with significant responsibility
	for research or research independence.
Does the activity have an actual or p	ootential adverse impact in relation to?
7.1 Age	Potential adverse impact. The modelling predicts that those in the
	age categories 50-59 and 60+ are less likely to be submitted than
	those aged between 20-29, 30-39 and 40-49. Those in the 30-39
	age category are most likely to be submitted. This suggests that
	older staff are less likely to have significant responsibility for
	research, as defined for the purposes of the REF. However, in all
	age categories the majority of staff are deemed to have
	significant responsibility for research.
7.2 Disability	Potential adverse impact. The modelling predicts a variance of
	6.64% between staff submitted who have a declared disability and
	staff submitted who do not have a declared disability (the former
	being less likely to be submitted) [the variance was 7% in REF
	<b>2014].</b> This suggests that staff with a declared disability are less
	likely to engage actively in independent research; however, the
	gap has decreased noticeably since the last analysis.
7.3 Gender Reassignment	No perceived impact, however, this data is unavailable so it is
	not possible at this stage to see whether there are any patterns
	in terms of submission based on this protected characteristic.
7.4 Marriage and civil partnership <sup>2</sup>	No perceived impact, however, this data is unavailable so it is
	not possible at this stage to see whether there are any patterns
	in terms of submission based on this protected characteristic.
7.5 Pregnancy and maternity	No perceived impact, however, this data is unavailable so it is
(including paternity)	not possible at this stage to see whether there are any patterns
	in terms of submission based on this protected characteristic.
7.6 Race (colour, ethnic or national	No perceived impact. The data indicates a positive impact in
background)	terms of submission rates for staff with a declared BAME
	background. The data was further disaggregated between ethnic
	subcategories.
	The proportion of staff submitted in each ethnic subcategory was
	higher than the proportion of staff submitted in the 'white'
	subcategory, except for those in the subcategory 'other mixed
	background'.
7.7 Religion or belief (including	No perceived impact, however, this data is unavailable so it is
non-belief)	not possible at this stage to see whether there are any patterns
	in terms of submission based on this protected characteristic.
7.8 Sex (Female/Male)	Although the data indicates a positive impact in terms of submission
	rates being similar for men and women to REF 2021, there remains
	a slight gap (5.66%).
6. Other	
Although not a protected characteri	stic, the data was also reviewed in terms of contracted hours (full-

Although not a protected characteristic, the data was also reviewed in terms of contracted hours (full-or part-time hours) and contractual status (established or fixed-term). This indicated that part-time staff were less likely to be submitted than full-time staff (17.16% variance) and that staff on fixed-term contracts were slightly more likely to be submitted than staff on established contracts (3.82% variance).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Please see footnote 1.

#### 7. Comment on the good practice identified

Inclusivity and equality have been key drivers in all stages at all stages of the University's REF preparations. Equality analysis was used to inform all stages of the modelling of processes and the testing of the models to identify to preferred options for BU's REF Code of Practice. Some of the options for identifying staff with significant responsibility for research, for example, were predicted to have a negative impact on groups of staff with one or more protected characteristics and this resulted in these options being excluded. All equality analysis data was made openly available to staff as part of the feedback exercises.

BU's equality and diversity work for the REF has been built into the University's dignity, diversity and equality action plan and Athena SWAN action plan and is being considered as part of the work towards the Race Equality Charter.

All staff involved in advising and making decisions (in terms of identifying staff with significant responsibility for research, determining research independence, and selecting outputs) are receiving mandatory REF-focussed equality and diversity training and development.

The diversity of the membership of internal REF groups (such as the REF Steering Group, REF Committee, Staff Circumstances Board and REF Appeals Panel) is taken into consideration.

#### 8. Comment on the actions to mitigate actual or potential adverse impact

This equality analysis identifies one action:

1. Women, staff with a declared disability and/or staff contracted to work part-time hours are less likely to engage in independent research (as defined in the context of the BU REF 2021 Code of Practice). However, in all cases the gaps have reduced with each subsequent equality analysis. These matters have been discussed by the University Research & Professional Practice Committee between January 2019 and January 2021. Each Faculty has a 'gender and research action plan'. It is recommended this work continues at University, Faculty and Department level.

10. Decision/Feedback/Approval						
10.1 What is the analysis outcome? (See	Please	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level				
Table 1 to assist here)	circle	4				
10.2 Have you consulted with ESG?	Yes - the BU REF 2021 Code of Practice was shared with ESG and					
	approved by email.					
10.3 When will the analysis be reported to	Spring 2021					
ESG?						
10.4 Which Committee will approve the	REF Steering Group					
analysis?						
10.5 Date of approval	July 2021					
10.6 When and how will the analysis be	Further equality analysis wor	k may be conducted after the final				
reviewed?	submission (in April 2021).					

# Appendix 1 – analysis

# Gender

Academic		Category A	Gender						
employment	Option	headcount	Headcount	Headcount	% men	% women			
function		Headcount	men	women	submitted	submitted			
Teaching &	Eligible	719	373	346	100%	100%			
Research	Submitted	549	295	254	79.09%	73.41%			
Research-only	Eligible	2	1	1	100%	100%			
	Submitted	2	1	1	100%	100%			
Both	Eligible	721	374	347	100%	100%			
categories	Submitted	551	296	255	79.14%	73.49%			

# Disability

			Disability						
Academic employment function	Option	Category A headcount	Headcount disclosed disability	Headcount no disclosed disability	% submitted with disclosed disability	% submitted with no disclosed disability			
Teaching &	Eligible	719	47	672	100%	100%			
Research	Submitted	549	33	516	70.21%	76.79%			
Research-only	Eligible	2	0	2	100%	100%			
	Submitted	2	0	2	-	100%			
Both	Eligible	721	47	674	100%	100%			
categories	Submitted	551	33	518	70.21%	76.85%			

# Ethnicity

			Ethnicity							
Academic employment function	Option	Category A headcount	Headcount White	Headcount Information refused /	Headcount BAME	Headcount mixed race	% submitted White	% submitted Information refused /	% submitted BAME	% submitted mixed race
Teaching &	Eligible	719	579	28	95	17	100%	100%	100%	100%
Research	Submitted	549	429	22	85	13	74.09%	79%	89.47%	76.47%
Research-	Eligible	2	2	0	0	0	100%	-	-	-
only	Submitted	2	2	0	0	0	100%	-	-	-
Both	Eligible	721	581	28	95	17	100%	100%	100%	100%
categories	Submitted	551	431	22	85	13	74.18%	79%	89.47%	76.47%

# **Contracted hours**

Academic		Catagory	Contracted hours						
employment	Option	Category A headcount	Headcount	Headcount	% submitted	% submitted			
function		neaucount	full-time	part-time	full-time	part-time			
Teaching &	Eligible	719	583	136	100%	100%			
Research	Submitted	549	464	85	79.59%	62.5%			
Research-only	Eligible	2	2	-	100%	100%			
	Submitted	2	2	-	100%	-			
Both	Eligible	721	585	136	100%	100%			
categories	Submitted	551	466	85	79.66%	62.5%			

# Age

Academic			Age									
employment	employment		Headcount					% submitted				
function	Option	headcount	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	601 40040	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	601 2400
			years	years	years	years	60+ years	years	years	years	years	60+ years
Teaching &	Eligible	719	9	145	227	227	111	77.78%	82.76%	78.85%	73.13%	69.37%
Research	Submitted	549	7	120	179	166	77	75.00%	82.67%	78.85%	73.13%	69.37%
Research-only	Eligible	2	0	1	0	1	0	-	100%	-	100%	-
	Submitted	2	0	1	0	1	0	-	100.00%	-	100.00%	-
Both	Eligible	721	9	146	227	228	111	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
categories	Submitted	551	7	121	179	167	77	77.78%	82.88%	78.85%	73.25%	69.37%

#### **Contractual status**

Academic		Catagom, A	Contractual status						
employment	Option	Category A headcount	Head	lcount	% sub	mitted			
function		neadcount	Established	Fixed-term	Established	Fixed-term			
Teaching &	Eligible	719	676	43	100%	100%			
Research	Submitted	549	515	34	76.18%	79.07%			
Research-only	Eligible	2	-	2	-	100%			
	Submitted	2	-	2	-	100%			
Both categories	Eligible	721	676	45	100%	100%			
	Submitted	551	515	36	76.18%	80%			